



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,969	0	6/25/2003	Bradley Birns	47322-0002 3754	
20822	7590	01/12/2005		EXAM	INER
RUDEN, M P.O. BOX 19		KY, SMITH, S	GRANT, ALVIN J		
FORT LAUD		E, FL 33301	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3723	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_							
Office Action Summany		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/603,969	BIRNS, BRADLEY				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alvin J Grant	3723				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPIALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u>October 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ ¯	Γhis action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-11 is/are pending in the a a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Applicatio							
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•				
10)∐ T	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)□ A a)□ 1 2	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s	s)						
`	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vogel '227 in view of Frazzell et al. '389.

Referring to claims 1-3 and 5-10, Vogel discloses a wrench, comprising: a first engagement end having a first engagement region, a body positioned adjacent to the first engagement end, the body incorporating a reflective material, and a second engagement end having a second engagement region, the second engagement end positioned adjacent to the body and opposite the first engagement end; the reflective material is reflective and luminescent. Vogel does not specifically disclose that the wrench is buoyant and having a specific gravity of less than 1.0. Frazzell et al. discloses a buoyant wrench having a specific gravity of less than 1.0 so that it would float in water. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the wrench of Vogel to have a specific gravity of less than 1.0 as taught by Frazzell et al. so that it would float in water.

Referring to claim 11, Vogel as modified discloses a method for forming a buoyant wrench including the steps of: positioning an engagement end having an engagement region adjacent to a body; and incorporating a reflective material in the body.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the Examiner recognizes that references cannot be arbitrarily combined and that there must be some reason why one

Application/Control Number: 10/603,969 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

skilled in the art would be motivated to make the proposed combination of primary and secondary references. In re Nomiya, 184 USPQ (CCPA 1975). However, there is no requirement that a motivation to make the modification be expressly articulated. The test for combining references is that the combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re McLaughlin, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). References are evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosures. In re Bozek, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969). In this case, Frazzel teaches that the wrench of Vogel can be made buoyant.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Grant whose telephone number is (703) 305-3315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Joseph J Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ajg

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700